### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, 1030 15th Street NW, B255 Washington, DC 20005	) ) )
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) Case No. 17-1227
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20460	) ) ) )
Defendants.	) ) )

#### **COMPLAINT**

1. Plaintiff American Oversight brings this action against the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA), and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, seeking declaratory and injunctive relief to compel compliance with the requirements of FOIA.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 2201, and 2202.
- 3. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e).
- 4. Because Defendant has failed to comply with the applicable time-limit provisions of the FOIA, American Oversight is deemed to have constructively exhausted its administrative remedies pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C)(i) and is now entitled to judicial action enjoining

the agency from continuing to withhold agency records and ordering the production of agency records improperly withheld.

#### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff American Oversight is a nonpartisan organization committed to the promotion of transparency in government, the education of the public about government activities, and ensuring the accountability of government officials. Through research and FOIA requests, American Oversight uses the information it gathers, and its analysis of it, to educate the public about the activities and operations of the federal government through reports, published analyses, press releases, and other media. The organization is incorporated under the laws of the District of Columbia, and its application for section 501(c)(3) status is pending with the Internal Revenue Service.
- 6. Defendant the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is an agency of the federal government within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(f)(1) headquartered in Washington, DC. EPA has possession, custody, and control of the records that American Oversight seeks.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

7. On March 29, 2017, EPA announced that it had denied a petition to ban the pesticide chlorpyrifos.

#### The FOIA Request

- 8. On April 11, 2017, American Oversight submitted a FOIA request to EPA seeking communications between certain individuals at each agency and certain outside entities related to chlorpyrifos or other pesticides.
  - 9. The FOIA request sought access to the following records:
    - (1) All communications between any of the individuals listed in Appendix A and any agricultural or other trade group with an

- interest in pesticides, including but not limited to CropLife, the American Farm Bureau, the American Soybean Association, the American Sugarbeet Growers Association, the National Corn Growers, or the Oklahoma Farm Bureau.
- (2) All communications between any of the individuals listed in Appendix A and any pestic ide manufacturer or anyone acting on behalf of a pesticide manufacturer, including but not limited to Dow Chemical or Dow AgroSciences.
- (3) All communications between any of the individuals listed in Appendix A and any member of Congress or anyone acting on behalf of a member of Congress (including both personal and committee staff) regarding agricultural issues or pesticides.
- (4) All communications between any of the individuals listed in Appendix A and any think tanks, including but not limited to the Heritage Foundation, regarding agricultural issues or pesticides.
- (5) A copy of any decision memoranda and attachments associated with the decision to deny the petition to ban chlorpyrifos, available at https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-03/documents/chlorpyrifos3b\_order\_denying\_panna\_and\_nrdc27s \_petitition\_to\_revoke\_tolerances.pdf.

#### Appendix A listed the following individuals:

- (1) Scott Pruitt, EPA Administrator;
- (2) Mike Flynn, Acting Deputy Administrator;
- (3) Wendy Cleland-Hammet, Acting Assistant Administrator of the Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention;
- (4) Richard Keigwin, Acting Director of Pesticide Programs;
- (5) Ryan Jackson, Chief of Staff;
- (6) John Reeder, Former Acting Chief of Staff;
- (7) David Schnare, transition team member;
- (8) David Kreutzer, transition team member;
- (9) Myron Ebell, transition team member;

- (10) Don Benton, Senior Adviser;
- (11) George Sugiyama, staffer;
- (12) Any other political appointees or SES employees in the Office of the Administrator.

The request sought records from January 20, 2017, to the date of the search. A copy of the FOIA request is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein.

#### Agency Response

- 10. On May 3, 2017, American Oversight received an acknowledgement letter from EPA assigning the FOIA request tracking number EPA-HQ-2017-006057 and granting American Oversight's fee waiver request.
- 11. On May 8, 2017, American Oversight received an email from EPA stating that the agency was currently processing a FOIA request for similar documents and asking whether American Oversight would accept the results of that search "in lieu of" the documents sought in its FOIA request. The email provided the search parameters used for the other FOIA request, which were both broader (additional custodians) and narrower (search terms) than what was sought in American Oversight's FOIA request. The email further stated that if American Oversight decided to proceed with its own FOIA request, the organization would need to clarify portions of the request.
- 12. On May 17, 2017, American Oversight responded to EPA, informing the agency how it would like to proceed. American Oversight stated that it was interested in receiving the results from the other search, but would then request that a supplemental search be done to capture additional documents within the scope of its FOIA request. In the alternative, American Oversight attempted to clarify its request by providing a set of proposed searches the agency

could perform that it believed would reasonably identify the records sought in its initial FOIA request.

13. On June 14, 2017, an attorney from American Oversight contacted EPA by phone and was told the agency was proceeding with the search for documents responsive to the related FOIA request, but had not taken any additional actions to respond to American Oversight's FOIA request. In particular, EPA informed American Oversight counsel that no supplemental search for additional documents responsive to American Oversight's request—as requested in American Oversight's May 17, 2017 email—had been started.

#### Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies

- 14. EPA has not responded to American Oversight's FOIA request, notwithstanding the obligation of the agency under FOIA to respond within twenty working days.
- 15. Through EPA's failure to respond to American Oversight's FOIA request within the time period required by law, American Oversight has constructively exhausted its administrative remedies as to EPA and seeks immediate judicial review.

# COUNT I Violation of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552 Failure to Conduct Adequate Search for Responsive Records

- 16. American Oversight repeats the allegations in the foregoing paragraphs and incorporates them as though fully set forth herein.
- 17. American Oversight properly requested records within the possession, custody, and control of Defendant.
- 18. Defendant is an agency subject to FOIA and must therefore make reasonable efforts to search for requested records.

- 19. Defendant has failed to promptly review agency records for the purpose of locating those records that are responsive to American Oversight's FOIA requests.
- 20. Defendant's failure to conduct an adequate search for responsive records violates FOIA.
- 21. Plaintiff American Oversight is therefore entitled to declaratory and injunctive relief requiring Defendant to promptly make reasonable efforts to search for records responsive to American Oversight's FOIA requests.

# COUNT II Violation of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552 Wrongful Withholding of Non-Exempt Records

- 22. American Oversight repeats the allegations in the foregoing paragraphs and incorporates them as though fully set forth herein.
- 23. American Oversight properly requested records within the possession, custody, and control of Defendant.
- 24. Defendant is an agency subject to FOIA and must therefore release in response to a FOIA request any disclosable records and provide a lawful reason for withholding any materials.
- 25. Defendant is wrongfully withholding agency records requested by American Oversight by failing to produce records responsive to its FOIA request.
  - 26. Defendant's failure to provide all responsive records violates FOIA.
- 27. Plaintiff American Oversight is therefore entitled to declaratory and injunctive relief requiring Defendant to promptly produce all non-exempt records responsive to its FOIA request and provide indexes justifying the withholding of any responsive records withheld under claim of exemption.

#### REQUESTED RELIEF

WHEREFORE, American Oversight respectfully requests the Court to:

- Order Defendant to conduct a search reasonably calculated to uncover all records responsive to American Oversight's FOIA request submitted to EPA on April 11, 2017;
- (2) Order Defendant to produce, within twenty days of the Court's order, or by such other date as the Court deems appropriate, any and all non-exempt records responsive to American Oversight's FOIA request and indexes justifying the withholding of any responsive records withheld under claim of exemption;
- (3) Enjoin Defendant from continuing to withhold any and all non-exempt records responsive to American Oversight's FOIA requests;
- (4) Award American Oversight attorneys' fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred in this action, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E); and
- (5) Grant American Oversight such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: June 22, 2017 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Sara Kaiser Creighton
Sara Kaiser Creighton
D.C. Bar No. 1002367
John E. Bies
D.C. Bar No. 483730
AMERICAN OVERSIGHT
1030 15th Street NW, B255
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 869-5246
sara.creighton@americanoversight.org
john.bies@americanoversight.org
Counsel for Plaintiff

# Exhibit A



April 11, 2017

#### VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Records, FOIA, and Privacy Branch Office of Environmental Information Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW (2822T) Washington, DC 20460 hq.foia@epa.gov

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear Freedom of Information Officer:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552 et seq. and the implementing regulations for the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 40 C.F.R. Part 2, American Oversight makes the following request for records.

During his tenure as Oklahoma's Attorney General, Scott Pruitt reportedly served as a conduit for industry interests to provide input into government decisionmaking, regularly consulting directly with fossil fuel firms regarding regulations affecting that industry, among other examples. On March 29, 2017, with Mr. Pruitt at its helm, the EPA announced that it had denied a petition to ban the pesticide chlorpyrifos, despite concluding during the Obama administration that the pesticide could pose risks to consumers, including nervous-system birth defects.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See, e.g., Coral Davenport & Eric Lipton, The Pruitt Emails: E.P.A. Chief Was Arm in Arm with Industry, N.Y. TIMES, Feb. 22, 2017, https://www.nytimes.com/2017/02/22/us/politics/scott-pruittenvironmental-protection-agency.html; Brady Dennis & Steven Mufson, Thousands of Emails Detail EPA Head's Close Ties to Fossil Fuel Industry, WASH. POST, Feb. 22, 2017, https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/energy-environment/wp/2017/02/22/oklahoma-attorneygenerals-office-releases-7500-pages-of-emails-between-scott-pruitt-and-fossil-fuelindustry/Putm\_term=.187c5a8084fb; Natasha Geiling, Scott Pruitt's Record Reveals a Long History of Industry Favoritism, THINKPROGRESS, Jan. 18, 2017, https://thinkprogress.org/scottpruitt-epa-oklahoma-record-386f13c8cc1d#.kfhqkxuwc; Eric Lipton & Coral Davenport, Scott Pruitt, Trump's E.P.A. Pick, Backed Industry Donors Over Regulators, N.Y. TIMES, Jan. 14, 2017, https://www.nytimes.com/2017/01/14/us/scott-pruitt-trump-epa-pick.html. <sup>2</sup> Environmental Protection Agency [EPA-HQ-OPP-2007-1005; FRL-9960-77], Chlorpyrifos; Order Denying PANNA and NRDC's Petition to Revoke Tolerances, Mar. 29, 2017, https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-03/documents/chlorpyrifos3b\_order\_denying\_panna\_and\_nrdc27s\_petitition\_to\_revoke\_toleranc es.pdf; see also Eric Lipton, E.P.A. Chief, Rejecting Agency's Science, Chooses Not to Ban Insecticide, N.Y. TIMES, Mar. 29, 2017, https://www.nytimes.com/2017/03/29/us/politics/epainsecticide-chlorpyrifos.html? r=0; Brady Dennis, EPA Chief, Rejecting Agency's Own Analysis,



1030f15thEstreetINW.EsuiteEB255.[Washington, IDCI20005III]IIIAmericanOversight.org

American Oversight is seeking information to determine the extent to which industry and trade groups, and others with a stake in this pesticide decision, may have engaged with Mr. Pruitt and the EPA prior to this decision.

#### Requested Records

American Oversight requests that EPA produce the following records within twenty business days:

- 1. All communications between any of the individuals listed in Appendix A and any agricultural or other trade group with an interest in pesticides, including but not limited to CropLife, the American Farm Bureau, the American Soybean Association, the American Sugarbeet Growers Association, the National Corn Growers, or the Oklahoma Farm Bureau.
- 2. All communications between any of the individuals listed in Appendix A and any pesticide manufacturer or anyone acting on behalf of a pesticide manufacturer, including but not limited to Dow Chemical or Dow AgroSciences.
- 3. All communications between any of the individuals listed in Appendix A and any member of Congress or anyone acting on behalf of a member of Congress (including both personal and committee staff) regarding agricultural issues or pesticides.
- 4. All communications between any of the individuals listed in Appendix A and any think tanks, including but not limited to the Heritage Foundation, regarding agricultural issues or pesticides.
- 5. A copy of any decision memoranda and attachments associated with the decision to deny the petition to ban chlorpyrifos, available at https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-03/documents/chlorpyrifos3b\_order\_denying\_panna\_and\_nrdc27s\_petitition\_to\_revok e\_tolerances.pdf.

Please provide all responsive records from January 20, 2017, to the date the search is conducted. Please note that American Oversight does not wish to obtain copies of any news or press clippings regarding these issues that are otherwise publicly available; accordingly, you may omit press clippings from the documents provided in response to this request, unless the record includes commentary on the press coverage.

Declines to Ban Pesticide Despite Health Concerns, WASH. POST, Mar. 29, 2017, <a href="https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/energy-environment/wp/2017/03/29/trump-epa-declines-to-ban-pesticide-that-obama-had-proposed-outlawing/Putm\_term=.e5f6153a7536">https://www.ban-pesticide-that-obama-had-proposed-outlawing/Putm\_term=.e5f6153a7536</a>; Geoffrey Mohan, Trump Administration Reverses Course on Nerve-Agent Pesticide, CHICAGO TRIBUNE, Mar. 30, 2017, <a href="https://www.chicagotribune.com/business/ct-epa-pesticide-chlorpyrifos-20170330-story.html">https://www.chicagotribune.com/business/ct-epa-pesticide-chlorpyrifos-20170330-story.html</a>.

In addition to the records requested above, American Oversight also requests records describing the processing of this request, including records sufficient to identify search terms used and locations and custodians searched and any tracking sheets used to track the processing of this request. If your agency uses FOIA questionnaires or certifications completed by individual custodians or components to determine whether they possess responsive materials or to describe how they conducted searches, we also request any such records prepared in connection with the processing of this request.

American Oversight seeks all responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting your search, please understand the terms "record," "document," and "information" in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations or discussions. Our request includes any attachments to these records. No category of material should be omitted from search, collection, and production.

Please search all records regarding agency business. You may not exclude searches of files or emails in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files is subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.<sup>3</sup> It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, through negligence or willfulness, failed to meet their obligations.<sup>4</sup>

In addition, please note that in conducting a "reasonable search" as required by law, you must employ the most up-to-date technologies and tools available, in addition to searches by individual custodians likely to have responsive information. Recent technology may have rendered EPA prior FOIA practices unreasonable. In light of the government-wide requirements to manage information electronically by the end of 2016, it is no longer reasonable to rely exclusively on custodian-driven searches. Furthermore, agencies that have adopted the National Archives and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016) ("The Government argues that because the agency had a policy requiring [the official] to forward all of his emails from his [personal] account to his business email, the [personal] account only contains duplicate agency records at best. Therefore, the Government claims that any hypothetical deletion of the [personal account] emails would still leave a copy of those records intact in [the official's] work email. However, policies are rarely followed to perfection by anyone. At this stage of the case, the Court cannot assume that each and every work-related email in the [personal] account was duplicated in [the official's] work email account." (citations omitted)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Presidential Memorandum—Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2011/11/28/presidential-

Records Agency (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies, now maint ain emails in a form that is reasonably likely to be more complete than individual custodians' files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but EPA's archiving tools would capture that email under Capstone. Accordingly, American Oversight insists that EPA use the most up-to-date technologies to search for responsive information and take steps to ensure that the most complete repositories of information are searched. American Oversight is available to work with you to craft appropriate search terms. However, custodian searches are still required; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

Under the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016, agencies must adopt a presumption of disclosure, withholding information "only if . . . disclosure would harm an interest protected by an exemption" or "disclosure is prohibited by law." If it is your position that any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, American Oversight requests that you provide an index of those documents as required under Vaughn v. Rosen, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973), cert. denied, 415 U.S. 977 (1974). As you are aware, a Vaughn index must describe each document claimed as exempt with sufficient specificity "to permit a reasoned judgment as to whether the material is actually exempt under FOIA." Moreover, the Vaughn index "must describe each document or portion thereof withheld, and for each withholding it must discuss the consequences of disclosing the sought-after information." Further, "the withholding agency must supply 'a relatively detailed justification, specifically identifying the reasons why a particular exemption is relevant and correlating those claims with the particular part of a withheld document to which they apply."

In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document. Claims of nonsegregability must be made with the same degree of detail as required for claims of exemptions in a Vaughn index. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically that it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.

You should institute a preservation hold on information responsive to this request. American Oversight intends to pursue all legal avenues to enforce its right of access under FOIA, including litigation if necessary. Accordingly, EPA is on notice that litigation is reasonably foreseeable.

4

memorandum-managing-government-records; Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, "Managing Government Records Directive," M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012),

https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/m-12-18.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> FOIA Improvement Act of 2016 § 2 (Pub. L. No. 114–185).

<sup>7</sup> Founding Church of Scientslogyy, Poll 602 F 2d 045, 040 (D.C. Cir. 10

Founding Church of Scientology v. Bell, 603 F.2d 945, 949 (D.C. Cir. 1979).
 King v. U.S. Dep't of Justice, 830 F.2d 210, 223-24 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (emphasis in original).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Id. at 224 (citing Mead Data Central, Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force, 566 F.2d 242, 251 (D.C. Cir. 1977)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Mead Data Central, 566 F.2d at 261.

To ensure that this request is properly construed, that searches are conducted in an adequate but efficient manner, and that extraneous costs are not incurred, American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and EPA can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in electronic format by email or in PDF or TIF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15<sup>th</sup> Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on rolling basis.

#### Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l), American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way. Moreover, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes. Purposes.

American Oversight requests a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information is "in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding" of government.<sup>13</sup> The interest in how Mr. Pruitt is running the EPA given his conduct as Oklahoma Attorney General is plentiful.<sup>14</sup> The recent decision to reverse course on the use of a controversial pesticide notwithstanding the EPA's own prior conclusions regarding the scientific evidence raises serious questions about the motivations of top EPA officials.<sup>15</sup> The American people deserve to know which outside individuals and groups are communicating with our nation's top regulators. This request seeks information that will shed light on which interests are shaping our environmental policy.

This request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes. <sup>16</sup> As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight's financial interest. American Oversight's mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight will use the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight will also make materials it gathers available on our public

<sup>11 40</sup> C.F.R. § 2.107(l)(1).

<sup>12 40</sup> C.F.R. § 2.107(l)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l)(2)(i)-(iv).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See supra note 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See supra note 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(I)(3)(i)-(ii).

website and promote their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.<sup>17</sup> One example of American Oversight's demonstrated public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content is in its recently launched "Audit the Wall" effort, where the organization is gathering and analyzing information and commenting on public releases of information related to the administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border.<sup>18</sup>

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

#### Conclusion

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, have any questions, or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact Sara Creighton at <a href="mailto:foia@americanoversight.org">foia@americanoversight.org</a> or (202) 869-5246. Also, if American Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

Austin R. Evers Executive Director American Oversight

6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> American Oversight currently has over 10,400 page likes on Facebook, and over 13,500 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, FACEBOOK, <a href="https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/">https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/</a> (last visited Apr. 11, 2017); American Oversight (@weareoversight), TWITTER (last visited Apr. 11, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Audit the Wall, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, www.auditthewall.org.

#### Appendix A

In connection with the above FOIA request, American Oversight requests that EPA search the records of the following individuals:

- 1. Scott Pruitt, EPA Administrator;
- 2. Mike Flynn, Acting Deputy Administrator;
- 3. Wendy Cleland-Hammet, Acting Assistant Administrator of the Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention;
- 4. Richard Keigwin, Acting Director of Pesticide Programs;
- 5. Ryan Jackson, Chief of Staff;
- 6. John Reeder, Former Acting Chief of Staff;
- 7. David Schnare, transition team member;
- 8. David Kreutzer, transition team member;
- 9. Myron Ebell, transition team member;
- 10. Don Benton, Senior Adviser;
- 11. George Sugiyama, Staffer;
- 12. Any other political appointees or SES employees in the Office of the Administrator.

## ATTACHMENT1E7-cv-01227 Document 1-2 Filed 06/22/17 Page 1 of 2

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

JS-44 (Rev. 3/16 DC)			DEFEND.	3.7750					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDA	.NTS					
American Oversight			U.S. Environmental Protection Agency						
	11001		COLINITY	E DECIDE	NCE OF I	поти	CTED DEFENDANT		
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST (EXCEPT IN U.S	LISTED PLAINTIFF 1 1 UU 1 PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S	. PLAIN	STED DEFENDANT TIFF CASES ONLY)		
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRE	,		NOTE: IN			CASES, USE	THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF L	AND INVOLV	ED
	55, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER)		ATTORNETS	(IF KNOW	IN)				
Sara Creighton	Sth Stroot NIM/ DOEE								
American Oversight, 1030 19 Washington, DC 20005	our Sueet ivvv, D255								
202.869.5246									
202.000.0210									
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION							IES (PLACE AN x IN ONE I	BOX FOR	
(PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX ONLY)		PLAINTIFF	AND ONE BOX	FOR DEF	ENDAN1 <b>DFT</b>	) <u>FOR D</u>	IVERSITY CASES ONLY!	PTF	DFT
	Federal Question U.S. Government Not a Party)	O141 641	5.1. O4.4.	<b>O</b> 1	$\mathbf{O}_1$	T	4 - 4 D-11 1 D1	<b>O</b> 4	<b>O</b> 4
riamuii (	J.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of t	nis State	· ·	· ·		orated or Principal Place iness in This State	<b>O</b> 7	•
② 2 U.S. Government ③ 41	Diversity	Citizen of 4	Another State	$\mathbf{O}_2$	$\mathbf{O}_2$			0.5	<b>^</b> 5
Defendant	Indicate Citizenship of	CHIZCH OF F	moner state	<b>O</b> 2	<b>O</b> 2		orated and Principal Place siness in Another State	<b>O</b> 5	O <sub>2</sub>
]			Subject of a	<b>O</b> 3	<b>O</b> 3			Ο.	0.
	I	Foreign Co	untry			Foreig	n Nation	<b>O</b> 6	<b>O</b> 6
	IV. CASE ASSIGN	JMFNT	AND NAT	HRE O	F SIIIT	<u> </u>			
(Place an X in one cate	gory, A-N, that best represen						sponding Nature of Sui	it)	
	Personal Injury/		. Adminis				D. Temporary		inina
1 -	Talpractice	100	. Auminis Review	iranve 2	igency		Order/Preli		ining
	ruipruciice		Review				Injunction	mmary	
	irplane		51 Medicare A	ct			,		
***************************************	irplane Product Liability ssault, Libel & Slander	Social Security		Any nature of suit from any category					
- Commence of the Commence of	ederal Employers Liability	861 HIA (1395ff)		may be selected for this category of case assignment.					
340 M		862 Black Lung (923)			assignment.				
345 M	arine Product Liability	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI			*(If Antitrust, then A governs)*				
5	otor Vehicle	905 DCI (405(-))							
	totor Vehicle Product Liability	диносоонного	Statutes	,,					
***************************************	ther Personal Injury ledical Malpractice	Semminous	91 Agricultur						
500000000000000000000000000000000000000	roduct Liability	£	93 Environme						
367 Н	ealth Care/Pharmaceutical		00 Other Stati Administra		`				
	ersonal Injury Product Liability		Involved)	uve Agei	icy is				
368 A	sbestos Product Liability		,						
<b>6</b> F C 1C 7(01)	OD			C C	10	•1	l		
© E. General Civil (Other)	OR		<u></u>		erat Civ	ગા ———	_		
Real Property 210 Land Condemnation	Bankruptcy 422 Appeal 27 USC 158		Forfeiture	e/Penalty Orug Rela	ited Seiz	ure of	470 Racketeer In	fluenced	
220 Foreclosure	423 Withdrawal 28 USC	157		Property 2			& Corrupt C		ion
230 Rent, Lease & Ejectment	***************************************		<b>690</b> (	Other			480 Consumer C		
240 Torts to Land	Prisoner Petitions						490 Cable/Satelli		
245 Tort Product Liability 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Oth		her Other Statutes		850 Securities/Co	ommoditi	es/			
290 All Other Real Property 540 Mandamus & Other Science 550 Civil Rights		375 False Claims Act		896 Arbitration					
Personal Property 555 Prison Conditions				899 Administrati	ve Proce	dure			
370 Other Fraud 560 Civil Detainee – Co		Conditions 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment		Act/Review of		of			
371 Truth in Lending of Confinement 380 Other Personal Property				Banks & 1		шепі	Agency Deci		
Damage	Property Rights		**************************************	Commerc	_		950 Constitution Statutes	anty of St	iate
385 Property Damage	820 Copyrights		]	Rates/etc.			890 Other Statut	ory Actio	ns
Product Liability	830 Patent 840 Trademark		Sammonan	Deportati			(if not admin	•	
	o40 1 rademark		***************************************	Naturaliz: Applicatio			review or Pr	ivacy Act	)
	Federal Tax Suits			appucauc Other Imi		n			
	870 Taxes (US plaintiff o	or	Consessed Control	Actions	-8				
Ī							1		
	defendant) 871 IRS-Third Party 26	118 <i>C</i> : 7400							

### Case 1:17-cv-01227 Document 1-2 Filed 06/22/17 Page 2 of 2

O G. Habeas Corpus/ 2255  530 Habeas Corpus – General 510 Motion/Vacate Sentence 463 Habeas Corpus – Alien Detainee	O H. Employment Discrimination  442 Civil Rights – Employment (criteria: race, gender/sex, national origin, discrimination, disability, age, religion, retaliation)  *(If pro se, select this deck)*	O 1. FOIA/Privacy Act	O J. Student Loan  [ ] 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (excluding veterans)
O K. Labor/ERISA (non-employment)  710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 740 Labor Railway Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	O L. Other Civil Rights (non-employment)  441 Voting (if not Voting Rights Act)  443 Housing/Accommodations  440 Other Civil Rights  445 Americans w/Disabilities - Employment  446 Americans w/Disabilities - Other  448 Education	O M. Contract  110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholder's Suits 190 Other Contracts 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	O N. Three-Judge Court  441 Civil Rights – Voting (if Voting Rights Act)
v. origin			
O 1 Original O 2 Removed ( Proceeding from State Court	O 3 Remanded from O4 Reinstated or Appellate Court Reopened		ti-district O 7 Appeal to gation District Judge from Mag. Judge
	U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH alled to provide responsive record		EF STATEMENT OF CAUSE.)
	HECK IF THIS IS A CLASS DEMANI CTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 JU	O \$ Check Y RY DEMAND: YES [	ES only if demanded in complaint
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY	See instruction) YES	NO X If yes, p	lease complete related case form
DATE: 6/22/2017	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF REC	cord Merugh	to.

### INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET JS-44 Authority for Civil Cover Sheet

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and services of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. Listed below are tips for completing the civil cover sheet. These tips coincide with the Roman Numerals on the cover sheet.

- COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF/DEFENDANT (b) County of residence: Use 11001 to indicate plaintiff if resident of Washington, DC, 88888 if plaintiff is resident of United States but not Washington, DC, and 99999 if plaintiff is outside the United States.
- III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES: This section is completed only if diversity of citizenship was selected as the Basis of Jurisdiction under Section II.
- IV. CASE ASSIGNMENT AND NATURE OF SUIT: The assignment of a judge to your case will depend on the category you select that best represents the <u>primary</u> cause of action found in your complaint. You may select only <u>one</u> category. You <u>must</u> also select <u>one</u> corresponding nature of suit found under the category of the case.
- VI. CAUSE OF ACTION: Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of the primary cause.
- VIII. RELATED CASE(S), IF ANY: If you indicated that there is a related case, you must complete a related case form, which may be obtained from the Clerk's Office.

Because of the need for accurate and complete information, you should ensure the accuracy of the information provided prior to signing the form.

FOIA Summons 1/13

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

CLEAR FORM

American Oversigh	nt .
Plaintiff	)
	) Civil Action No.
v. U.S. Environmental Protecti	
	SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address	Channing D. Phillips U.S. Attorney for the District of Columbia 555 4th Street NW Washington, DC 20530
A lawsuit has been filed	l against you.
serve on the plaintiff an answer of Civil Procedure. The answer of address are:  Sara Creig American Control 1030 15th services American C	
•	adgment by default may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the your answer or motion with the court.
	ANGELA D. CAESAR, CLERK OF COURT
Date:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

FOIA Summons (12/11) (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (name	of individual and title, if any)		
was rec	ceived by me on (date)	·		
	' I personally served th	ne summons on the individual a		
			on (date)	_ ; or
	' I left the summons at	the individual's residence or us	<u> </u>	
			f suitable age and discretion who resi	
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the	he individual's last known address; or	r
	' I served the summon	s on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to ac	cept service of process on behal	If of (name of organization)	
			on (date)	; or
	' I returned the summo	ons unexecuted because		; or
	' Other (specify):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalty of	of perjury that this information	is true.	
Date:			Server's signature	
			Printed name and title	
			Server's address	
Δ dditiα	onal information regardin	a attempted service, etc.	server's address	

Print

Save As...

Reset

FOIA Summons 1/13

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

CLEAR FORM

American Oversight	
Plaintiff	)
	)
v. U.S. Environmental Protection	) Civil Action No.
	)
·	
	SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	Jeff Sessions United States Attorney General U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20530
A lawsuit has been filed ag	gainst you.
serve on the plaintiff an answer to	ersight eet NW, B255
	gment by default may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the answer or motion with the court.
	ANGELA D. CAESAR, CLERK OF COURT
Date:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

FOIA Summons (12/11) (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

was re	eceived by me on (date)	me of individual and title, if any)		
		I the summons on the individual a	ut (place)	
			on (date)	; or
	' I left the summons	at the individual's residence or u	sual place of abode with (name)	
		, a person o	of suitable age and discretion who resid	les there,
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to t	he individual's last known address; or	
	' I served the summe	ons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to	accept service of process on beha		
			on (date)	; or
	' I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because		; or
	' Other (specify):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalt	y of perjury that this information	is true.	
Date:			Server's signature	
			Printed name and title	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print

Save As...

Reset

FOIA Summons 1/13

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

CLEAR FORM

American Oversight	
	) )
V.	) Civil Action No.
U.S. Environmental Protection	Agency )
Defendant	)
	SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20460
A lawsuit has been filed as	gainst you.
serve on the plaintiff an answer to	rsight eet NW, B255
•	gment by default may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the arranswer or motion with the court.
	ANGELA D. CAESAR, CLERK OF COURT
Date:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

FOIA Summons (12/11) (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

was re	This summons for (nan ceived by me on (date)	ne of individual and title, if any)		
	' I personally served	the summons on the individual a		
			on (date)	_ ; or
	' I left the summons	at the individual's residence or u	sual place of abode with (name)	
			of suitable age and discretion who residual	
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to t	the individual's last known address; or	•
	' I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beha	alf of (name of organization)	
			on (date)	; or
	' I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because		; or
	' Other (specify):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00 .
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	is true.	
Date:				
			Server's signature	
			Printed name and title	
			Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print

Save As...

Reset